Perfect Abstractions

Description	Niftkit V3 Audit
Copyright	Copyright © 2022 - Perfect Abstractions LLC

Table of Contents

1 Niftykit-Contracts-V3 Audit

- 1.0.1 Project description
- 1.0.2 Objectives
- 1.0.3 Scope

2 Annex

- 2.1 EIP-2535 Diamonds compliancy
- 2.2 Replay attacks possible
- 2.3 NiftyKitV3 Withdraw function could be called by anybody
- 2.4 The

I Medium Risk

3 Edition facet signature is replayable

• 3.0.1 Recommendation

II Low Risk

4 Apps can be made un-upgradable

- 4.0.1 Recommendation
- 5 Collection fees can be changed after mint has started
 - 5.0.1 Recommendation
- 6 Collection parameters can be changed after mint has started
 - 6.0.1 Recommendation

7 One step ownership of collections

• 7.0.1 Recommendation

8 NiftyKitV3 and NiftyKitAppRegistry initialize can be frontrun

III Informational

9 Base facet and app facets override same contracts which is prone to bugs

• 9.0.1 Recommendations

10 BaseFacet version is not used

• 10.0.1 Recommendation

11 App facets structs can be optimized

12 Some unused code can be removed

- 12.1 NiftyKitV3.sol
- 12.2 NiftyKitV3.sol
- 12.3 Unused functions

13 Low code coverage

• 13.0.1 Recommendation

14 Function parameters shadowing contract storage variables

• 14.0.1 Recommendation

15 Missing zero address checks in NiftyKitV3.sol

• 15.0.1 Recommendation

16 Lack of documentation and comments

• 16.0.1 Recommendation

17 Disclosure

1 Niftykit-Contracts-V3 Audit

Perfect Abstractions conducted a smart contract audit of Niftykit's Niftykit-Contracts-V3 from 7 March 2023 to 28 March 2023.

This audit was carried out in 2 stages. The 1st stage based on the hash

1f0cd5c59429c230fd85fd97a0ea0fb0483533a4, and the 2nd stage starting on 15 March and based on the hash e88f77b124d513ee859ad56a106ecb88e131f7a0, allowing further analysis of the codebase.

In this document we will mainly detail the 2nd part of the audit (git hash

e88f77b124d513ee859ad56a106ecb88e131f7a0) because it concerns the current code version. However, we will list in the appendix certain elements highlighted and fixed in the 1st part of the audit to show the corrected vulnerabilities, certain optimizations carried out and a relevant overhaul of the design allowing compliance with the EIP-2535 Diamonds.

Auditors:

• Thibaud Catz

Audit report reviewed by Nick Mudge.

1.0.1 Project description

NiftyKit is a no-code platform for NFT creators. It allows people to create, manage and sell NFTs. This new version of NiftyKit implements EIP-2535 Diamonds, allowing it to easily add or remove functionality for NFT collections. The codebase is modular and well written.

1.0.2 Objectives

- 1. Find bugs, inefficiencies and security vulnerabilities in the code base.
- 2. Make recommendations concerning bugs, inefficiencies and security vulnerabilities found as well as other recommendations that may improve the code base.

1.0.3 Scope

The following files were audited (hash e88f77b124d513ee859ad56a106ecb88e131f7a0):

- contracts/NiftyKitAppRegistry.sol
- contracts/NiftyKitV3.sol
- contracts/apps/ape/ApeDropFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/ape/ApeDropStorage.sol
- contracts/apps/blockTokens/BlockTokensFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/drop/DropFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/drop/DropStorage.sol

- contracts/apps/edition/EditionFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/edition/EditionStorage.sol
- contracts/apps/example/ExampleFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/example/ExampleStorage.sol
- contracts/apps/operatorControls/OperatorControlsFacet.sol
- contracts/apps/royaltyControls/RoyaltyControlsFacet.sol
- contracts/diamond/BaseFacet.sol
- contracts/diamond/BaseStorage.sol
- contracts/diamond/DiamondCollection.sol
- contracts/diamond/DiamondLoupeFacet.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IDiamond.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IDiamondCut.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IDiamondLoupe.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IDropKitPass.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IERC165.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IERC173.sol
- contracts/interfaces/INiftyKitAppRegistry.sol
- contracts/interfaces/INiftyKitV3.sol
- contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol
- contracts/internals/InternalOwnable.sol
- contracts/internals/InternalOwnableRoles.sol
- contracts/internals/MinimalOwnable.sol
- contracts/internals/MinimalOwnableRoles.sol
- contracts/libraries/LibDiamond.sol
- contracts/mocks/MockERC20.sol
- contracts/mocks/MockOperator.sol

2 Annex

In the 1st part of the audit from 7 March to 14 March, some issues were found and fixed.

We present the most notable ones in this annex.

2.1 EIP-2535 Diamonds compliancy

See EIP-2535 Diamonds Implementation Points.

Immutable functions are external functions defined directly in a diamond proxy contract or inherited by it. The EIP-2535 Diamonds standard requires information about immutable functions be returned by the loupe functions and emitted in the DiamondCut event.

Information about immutable functions in the diamond proxy contract (DiamondCollection.sol) were not returned by the loupe functions and were not emitted in the DiamondCut event. This was fixed by putting all the immutable functions in a separate facet (BaseFacet.sol) which is now cut in the diamond proxy constructor.

The fix also made the code clearer, more modular and upgradable.

2.2 Replay attacks possible

Signatures in NiftyKitV3.sol and EditionFacet.sol were replayable on another chain. ChainId parameter has been added which fixed the issue.

2.3 NiftyKitV3 Withdraw function could be called by anybody

This was a low issue because Ether would be sent to treasury and not the transaction sender. But we can imagine a scenario where treasury would be changed (because compromised for example), and the current treasury address would frontrun the setTreasury function by calling Withdraw just before. By doing so, the compromised treasury would get the ethers in the contract before being prevented from doing it.

It has been changed to OnlyOwner.

2.4 The preventTransfers modifier could not block tokens if TransferMode is OperatorsOnly

It was due to some logic issue in the modifier which has been fixed.

I. Medium Risk

3 Edition facet signature is replayable

✓ Fixed			
Fixed accordi	ng to the recommendation.		

In EditionFacet.sol, signature can be replayed in certain cases.

```
function _requireSignature(
   EditionStorage.Edition storage edition,
   uint256 editionId,
   bytes calldata signature
) internal view {
   require(
       keccak256(
           abi.encodePacked(editionId + edition.nonce, block.chainid)
       ).toEthSignedMessageHash().recover(signature) == edition.signer,
       "Invalid signature"
   );
}
```

editionId is incremented at each new edition. edition.nonce starts at zero for each new edition and can be incremented to invalidate a signature.

As the data used to make the hash is abi.encodePacked(editionId + edition.nonce, block.chainid), some combinations of editionId and edition.nonce will recover to the same signer.

For example editionId = 0 and edition.nonce = 1,

will be replayable if editionId = 1 and edition.nonce = 0, because the 0 + 1 == 1 + 0. So the signature could be replayed with the other combination.

A replay of the transaction on another Edition means someone could give themselves permission to mint illegitimately.

3.0.1 Recommendation

I see two options:

- Use a global nonce instead of a per Edition nonce, so that editionId + edition.nonce will never be repeated across the Editions
- Use abi.encodePacked(editionId,edition.nonce, block.chainid) instead of abi.encodePacked(editionId + edition.nonce, block.chainid)

II. Low Risk

4 Apps can be made un-upgradable

i Low Risk

On the app facets, there is a version number which is a uint8:

```
struct App {
    address implementation;
    bytes4 interfaceId;
    bytes4[] selectors;
    uint8 version;
}
```

One can upgrade an app by using a superior version number:

```
require(
     version > _apps[name].version,
     "NiftyKitAppRegistry: Version must be greater than previous"
   );
```

But if the uint8 maximum value is used (255), it won't be possible to upgrade the app anymore, as new version must be greater than previous.

It could be intended behavior to provide a way to make an app un-upgradable, but in that case it's missing documentation about it.

4.0.1 Recommendation

Force version incremental values or document the fact that version numbers can be skipped and that a value of 255 will prevent an app from being upgradable.

5 Collection fees can be changed after mint has started

i) Low Risk

In NiftyKitV3.sol, a collection feeType and feeRate can be changed anytime including after minting has started.

```
function setRate(address collection, uint256 rate) external onlyOwner {
   Collection storage _collection = _collections[collection];
   require(_collection.exists, "Does not exist");
   _collection.feeRate = rate;
}
function setFeeType(address collection, FeeType feeType) external {
   Collection storage _collection = _collections[collection];
   require(_collection.exists, "Does not exist");
   require(IERC173(collection).owner() == _msgSender(), "Not the owner");
   _collection.feeType = feeType;
}
```

It means it's possible that people mint the same collection with different prices.

5.0.1 Recommendation

I would suggest not to be able to change these values, once the presale or sale has started.

6 Collection parameters can be changed after mint has started

i Low Risk

In DropFacet.sol, ApeDropFacet.sol and EditionFacet.sol, the parameters can be changed after sale has started.

For example in DropFacet.sol:

```
function startSale(
    uint256 newMaxAmount,
    uint256 newMaxPerMint,
    uint256 newMaxPerWallet,
    uint256 newPrice,
    bool presale
) external onlyRolesOrOwner(BaseStorage.MANAGER_ROLE) {
    DropStorage.Layout storage layout = DropStorage.layout();
    layout._saleActive = true;
    layout._presaleActive = presale;

    layout._maxAmount = newMaxAmount;
    layout._maxPerMint = newMaxPerMint;
    layout._maxPerWallet = newMaxPerWallet;
    layout._price = newPrice;
}
```

For example we can see that _price can be changed after mint has started, but also _maxPerMint, _maxPerWallet.

6.0.1 Recommendation

I would suggest not to be able to change these values, once the presale or sale has started.

7 One step ownership of collections

i Low Risk

The functions enabling 2-step ownership have been removed from MinimalOwnable.sol which is inherited by the DiamondCollection contract.

```
/// @dev Request a two-step ownership handover to the caller.
/// The request will be automatically expire in 48 hours (172800 seconds) by default.
function requestOwnershipHandover() public payable virtual {
    unchecked {
        uint256 expires = block.timestamp + ownershipHandoverValidFor();
        /// @solidity memory-safe-assembly
        assembly {
            // Compute and set the handover slot to `expires`.
            mstore(0x0c, _HANDOVER_SLOT_SEED)
            mstore(0x00, caller())
            sstore(keccak256(0x0c, 0x20), expires)
            // Emit the {OwnershipHandoverRequested} event.
            log2(0, 0, _OWNERSHIP_HANDOVER_REQUESTED_EVENT_SIGNATURE, caller())
        }
    }
}
/// @dev Cancels the two-step ownership handover to the caller, if any.
function cancelOwnershipHandover() public payable virtual {
    /// @solidity memory-safe-assembly
    assembly {
        // Compute and set the handover slot to 0.
        mstore(0x0c, _HANDOVER_SLOT_SEED)
        mstore(0x00, caller())
        sstore(keccak256(0x0c, 0x20), 0)
        // Emit the {OwnershipHandoverCanceled} event.
        log2(0, 0, _OWNERSHIP_HANDOVER_CANCELED_EVENT_SIGNATURE, caller())
    }
}
/// @dev Allows the owner to complete the two-step ownership handover to `pendingOwner`.
/// Reverts if there is no existing ownership handover requested by `pendingOwner`.
function completeOwnershipHandover(address pendingOwner) public payable virtual onlyOwner {
    /// @solidity memory-safe-assembly
    assembly {
        // Compute and set the handover slot to 0.
        mstore(0x0c, _HANDOVER_SLOT_SEED)
        mstore(0x00, pendingOwner)
        let handoverSlot := keccak256(0x0c, 0x20)
        // If the handover does not exist, or has expired.
        if gt(timestamp(), sload(handoverSlot)) {
            mstore(0x00, _NO_HANDOVER_REQUEST_ERROR_SELECTOR)
            revert(0x1c, 0x04)
        }
        // Set the handover slot to 0.
        sstore(handoverSlot, 0)
    }
    _setOwner(pendingOwner);
}
```

This has been done, to save gas when deploying a collection but transfer of ownership is a delicate and irreversible process, it could leave a contract useless, with a two step process we add a guard against typos or bad copy/paste.

7.0.1 Recommendation

Add the functionality back or make sure it's not needed.

8 NiftyKitV3 and NiftyKitAppRegistry initialize can be frontrun

i Low Risk

The functions are public which means anybody can run them (and take ownership).

```
function initialize() public initializer {
    ___Ownable_init();
}
function initialize(address appRegistry_) public initializer {
    _appRegistry = appRegistry_;
    _treasury = _msgSender();
    __Ownable_init();
}
```

It is not really bad, as these functions are called during deployment, but deployer has to make sure nobody frontrun these calls during deployment. If initialize is frontrun, the deployer will have to redeploy because the frontrunner will get the ownership of the contracts.

III. Informational

9 Base facet and app facets override same contracts which is prone to bugs

Informational

contract BaseFacet is
 ERC721AUpgradeable,
 MinimalOwnableRoles,
 ERC2981,
 OperatorFilterer,
 DiamondLoupeFacet
{

contract DropFacet is InternalOwnableRoles, InternalERC721AUpgradeable {

contract ApeDropFacet is InternalOwnableRoles, InternalERC721AUpgradeable {

contract EditionFacet is InternalOwnableRoles, InternalERC721AUpgradeable {

They both share some inheritance (because InternalOwnableRoles is an internal modified version of MinimalOwnableRoles and InternalERC721AUpgradeable is an internal modified version of ERC721AUpgradeable).

This has been done so that app facets can easily call functions and use storage from ERC721A and OwnableRoles.

But this design requires attention to the risks of having an overriden function in BaseFacet which is not overriden in an app facet. In that case, when a function is called from an app facet it would execute original code instead of overriden one which is undesired and leads to bugs.

A bug has been found during the audit which was caused exactly by this fact.

The function:

```
function _startTokenId() internal pure override returns (uint256) {
    return 1;
}
```

Is an override in BaseFacet, allowing to have token ids starting at 1 instead of 0.

But the function was not overriden in facets, and the consequence was that max supply could not be reached.

Solution to the bug was to implement the same override in each app facet.

Fortunately, bug was found and fixed.

9.0.1 Recommendations

There are a few different ways to share code between facets. We recommend these ways:

- Write internal functions in Solidity libraries and import and call those functions in facets.
- Put common internal functions in a contract that is inherited by multiple facets. Internal functions defined with the virtual keyword can be overriden. Consider not using the virtual keyword to ensure shared internal functions are the same between facets.

More information about sharing code between facets and ways to do it are in this article: How to Share Functions Between Facets of a Diamond

10 BaseFacet version is not used

🕗 Informational

The BaseFacet has a version number and can be upgraded.

```
function setBase(
    address implementation,
    bytes4[] calldata interfaceIds,
    bytes4[] calldata selectors,
    uint8 version
) external only0wner {
    _base = Base({
        implementation: implementation,
        interfaceIds: interfaceIds,
        selectors: selectors,
        version: version
    });
}
```

But the version is only used to be stored in the DiamondCollection layout:

layout._baseVersion = base.version;

So any version can be set, a new BaseFacet could have same version as previous or a lower number, for example.

10.0.1 Recommendation

Make sure that an upgrade of BaseFacet has a superior version (as done for app facets).

11 App facets structs can be optimized

In ERC721A.sol source code, we can see the following assumption:

- An owner cannot have more than 2**64 - 1 (max value of uint64) of supply.

So as the max number of tokens per wallet is 2**64,

```
in DropStorage.sol:
```

```
struct Layout {
    mapping(address => uint256) _mintCount;
    bytes32 _merkleRoot;
    uint256 _dropRevenue;
    // Sales Parameters
    uint256 _maxAmount;
    uint256 _maxPerMint;
    uint256 _maxPerWallet;
    uint256 _price;
    // States
    bool _presaleActive;
    bool _saleActive;
}
```

and in EditionStorage.sol:

```
struct Edition {
    string tokenURI;
    bytes32 merkleRoot;
    uint256 price;
    uint256 quantity;
    uint256 maxQuantity;
    uint256 maxPerWallet;
    uint256 nonce;
    address signer;
    bool active;
}
```

_maxPerMint and _maxPerWallet, could be uint64.

It would save one slot in each struct which would save gas when accessing the structs.

If the change is made, the functions getting/setting the values should be modified too, for example in EditionFacet.sol:

```
function createEdition(
    string memory tokenURI,
    uint256 price,
    uint256 maxQuantity,
    uint256 maxPerWallet,
    uint256 maxPerMint
```

12 Some unused code can be removed

🧭 Informational

12.1 NiftyKitV3.sol

import {ClonesUpgradeable}; can be removed as it's not used. import {IDropKitPass}; can be removed as it's not used.

12.2 NiftyKitV3.sol

Here: contract NiftyKitV3 is INiftyKitV3, Initializable, OwnableUpgradeable

Initializable can be removed as it's inherited by OwnableUpgradeable

12.3 Unused functions

Here's a list of unused code. Removing this code will:

- Help code readability
- · Increase control about what the code does or not

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._nextTokenId() (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._baseURI() (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X MinimalOwnableRoles._checkOwnerOrRoles(uint256) (contracts/internals/MinimalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._unpackedOwnership(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnable._setOwner(address) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._approve(address,uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnableRoles._grantRoles(address,uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._exists(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol:438-445) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._ownershipAt(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._toString(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X MinimalOwnableRoles._checkRoles(uint256) (contracts/internals/MinimalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._setExtraDataAt(uint256,uint24) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._totalBurned() (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable.__ERC721A_init_unchained(string,string) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X LibDiamond.enforceIsContractOwner() (contracts/libraries/LibDiamond.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._numberBurned(address) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._burn(uint256,bool) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._isApprovedForAll(address,address) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._getApprovedSlotAndAddress(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._approve(address,uint256,bool) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnableRoles._checkOwnerOrRoles(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._totalMinted() (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnableRoles._checkRoles(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._ownershipOf(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._isSenderApprovedOrOwner(address,address,address) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._burn(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._mintERC2309(address,uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable._packedOwnershipOf(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._ownerOf(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X LibDiamond.contractOwner() (contracts/libraries/LibDiamond.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnableRoles._removeRoles(address,uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnableRoles.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._initializeOwnershipAt(uint256) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

X InternalOwnable._initializeOwner(address) (contracts/internals/InternalOwnable.sol) is never used

X InternalERC721AUpgradeable._numberMinted(address) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

InternalERC721AUpgradeable.__ERC721A_init(string,string) (contracts/internals/InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol) is never used

13 Low code coverage

Informational

Code coverage is low on certain files (mainly internal folder).

- InternalERC721AUpgradeable.sol 20.54%
- InternalOwnable.sol 0%
- InternalOwnableRoles.sol 50%
- MinimalOwnable.sol 0%
- MinimalOwnableRoles.sol 22.22%
- RoyaltyControlsFacet.sol 0%

Some of these are critical because there goal is:

- To assure correct ownership of the contracts
- The ERC721A part of the app facets

13.0.1 Recommendation

Add some tests to cover the whole code base.

14 Function parameters shadowing contract storage variables

Informational

For example in BaseFacet.sol,

```
function installApp(bytes32 name) external onlyOwner {
    __installApp(name, address(0), "");
}
function installApp(bytes32 name, bytes memory data) external onlyOwner {
    __installApp(name, address(this), data);
}
function removeApp(bytes32 name) external onlyOwner {
    __removeApp(name, address(0), "");
}
function removeApp(bytes32 name, bytes memory data) external onlyOwner {
    __removeApp(name, address(this), data);
}
```

name parameter shadows the ERC721Upgradable.name storage variable. It works without issues and it's not a problem by itself, but it could mislead code readers or developers.

14.0.1 Recommendation

Consider using different function variable names that don't shadow storage variables.

15 Missing zero address checks in NiftyKitV3.sol

🕗 Informational

```
function initialize(address appRegistry_) public initializer {
    _appRegistry = appRegistry_;
    _treasury = _msgSender();
    __Ownable_init();
}
function setTreasury(address newTreasury) external onlyOwner {
    _treasury = newTreasury;
}
function setSigner(address signer) external onlyOwner {
    _signer = signer;
}
```

These function don't check that the input address is not zero. It's better not to be able to set a 0 address, than to discover that it has been set by error.

15.0.1 Recommendation

Add zero-address check to these functions.

16 Lack of documentation and comments

🧷 Informational

Lack of function-level documentation (natspec) and an absence of comments in the code base.

16.0.1 Recommendation

Introducing comprehensive function-level documentation and comments throughout the code base will make it significantly easier to understand, reason about, maintain and update.

17 Disclosure

Perfect Abstractions LLC receives payment from clients (the "Clients") for reviewing code and writing these reports (the "Reports").

The Reports are not an accusation or endorsement of any project or team, and the Reports do not guarantee the security of any project. No Report provides any warranty or representation to any Third-Party in any respect, including regarding the bugfree nature of code, the business model or proprietors of any such business model, and the legal compliance of any such business. To remove any doubt, this Report is not investment advice, is not intended to be relied upon as investment advice, is not an endorsement of this project or team, and it is not a guarantee as to the security of the project.

The Reports are created for Clients and published with their consent. The scope of our review is limited to the code or files that are specified in this report. The Solidity language remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond specified code that could present security risks.